

http://libertxn3ogpn6mb.onion

LIBERTY

MAGAZINE

OSCAR

[illegible]

TABLE OF CONTENTS	
/	/
It's a table! Get it?	

ACQUIRING RELAY.....	3 AGAIN
LETTERS TO LIBERTORIAN.....	4
BLURB.....	5
PEOPLE ARE DISCUSSING.....	5
LIBERTORIAN	
THE DEVOLUTIONARY OATH.....	5
QUOTABLE QUOTES.....	5
DEEP WEB SITES OF THE DAY.....	6
Overchan and Underchan	
ARTICLE.....	7
A La Carte: It's Time Has Come	
MEET THE LIBERTARIANS.....	8
Constitutional Liberals	
FEATURE.....	10
PLANNED PARENTHOOD: AN UPDATE	
ARTICLE.....	12
Libertarians vs. Christians?	
MEET THE SENATORS WHO VOTED.....	13
TO FUND PLANNED PARENTHOOD	
FEATURE.....	14
Everything You Needed to Know	
About Ashley Madison	
But Were Afraid To Ask	
BLURB.....	18
LiberTORian Is Activist Literature	
BLURB.....	18
Happy 100th Birthday, Raggedy Ann	
FEATURE.....	19
The Tragic Tale of Dan Price	
CLASSIC TEXT FILE OF THE DAY.....	23
coladrik.txt	
BLURB.....	25
A LiberTORian Public PGP Key!	

~~ LiBeRtOrIaN ~~	
-- Volume 1	--- Issue 8 --
Late September, 2015	
A Publication of Synonymous 1.	
Published August, 2015.	
--	
LiberTORian is published on an	
irregular basis.	
N(c), 2015. Readers are welcome,	
actually encouraged, to copy and	
distribute this text by any means	
possible, preferably by photocopy-	
ing.	
LIBERTORIAN HAS A DEEP WEB SITE:	
http://libertxn3ogpn6mb.onion	
(Thanks to an Onionland user.	
The views expressed in LiberTORian	
aren't necessarily those of the	
webmaster. That's fine with us	
libertarians.	
CORRESPONDENCE:	
synonymous1@ruggedinbox.com	
Send submission & letters to	
LiberTORian at the above address.	
DONATE TO LIBERTORIAN:	
12yfpaKCHL7XCdWGim8x9nuaBw1TbW1q2s	
Even a millibitcoin!	
(.001 BTC)	
DON'T HURT PEOPLE	
AND DON'T TAKE THEIR STUFF.	

ARTICLE.....	26
Help Sweet Cakes by Melissa	
EDITORIAL.....	27
But What About Polygamy?	
DNS LOOKUP FAILED.....	28

~~~~~

## A C Q U I R I N G     R E L A Y

~~~~~

I went back for old time's sake and read LibertORian 1. Boy, has the writing changed! Originally, LibertORian has so much basic deep web knowledge that I had just figured out. What a difference a few months make!

I still wonder about TOR and internet privacy. I wonder how secure it is, and for how long. It seems that the intrusive government agencies, who were responsible for TOR in the first place, are able to, or closing in on, infiltrating its encryption, or have. TOR recently updated a pdf exploit. It's all a game of cat and mouse: the TOR project always must stay one step ahead of the NSA, the FBI intimidators, CIA, and all those other three-letter groups intent on prying into your life.

And how else can we know what they know until one of us on the deep web goes down? When someone takes a hit from the government, they keep their methods secret, so it's up to others to discover how they did it, and to close the gap.

Maintaining security in our current stage of technological evolution is essential to protect those who are oppressed by their government. If security can't be maintained, they will be fearful to speak out on the wrongdoings of their governments. For many people around the world, TOR and its users are the last line of defense against absolute power.

LibertORian Issue 7 featured a guest post from Quantum 7765, with a topic of GPG encryption. Quantum now just published his first issue of a retro eZine of his own, titled "QUANTUM MAGAZINE". His issue features the article on GPG, and two other articles: one on StingRay, a

- By Synonymous 1, Editor -

phony tower which intercepts cell phone transmissions, and metadata. I found the metadata article an eye opener. I've been aware of it already, but never considered how it is present in personal documents. We are all here to help each other. Of course, as a libertarian, you don't have to, but what better way to learn? Again, I've come a long way, and it's because of the people who have taken the time to come to my aid, when there is no need to, or at least from what I see, no apparent benefit to them. I hope that others are helped by writing LibertORian as I've been helped by complete strangers in the deep web!

And I know that many of people out in the deep web see things different than I do. But I know that there is so much that we have in common, and have the same desire to protect our privacy and individual freedom rights (actually, many do NOT care about individual liberty, but they still care about their privacy). In the deep web, I see, to some extent, an anarchist feel to the community. People tend to be respectful of each other, and just don't bother with things they abhor. It's a libertarian philosophy to be tolerant of the free speech of others, and to know our tolerance doesn't mean that we necessarily condone it. We just believe that it's up to each individual to make her/his own decisions in life, and accept the consequences for those decisions.

With all that in mind, LibertORian hopes you enjoy this issue. As always, we want to hear from YOU and show YOUR articles. You can contact LibertORian at the same address as it's been since the premiere issue:

synonymous1@ruggedinbox.com     ((L))

```

-----
| -----                ## |
| -- ---                ## |
|
|           Letters
|           to
|       LibertORian
|
|-----

```

Hi!

I liked a lot your article about the attack to Ashley Madison.

It is a fact that someone has caused some harm to someone, but we can not identify to whom unless we first decide the priority:

- a. adulterer's privacy
- b. spouse's right to know
- c. hackers' freedom to do whatever they want
- d. company's right to not be compromised
- e. not defraud customers

Where we put the priority is the key of the matter. And that is common to be placed on the side of the morality or religion.

-Anonymous

DEAR ANONYMOUS

Thank you for your input on the Ashley Madison story, which we asked for in issue 6. You provide a good insight on this, which makes more sense to me now that I understand more about Impact Team, and the reason for their actions to hack the account, although I don't know what role, if any, members of Impact Team had in the defrauding.

As a libertarian, I'll leave it to the dear readers to choose the order of priority of the above. I'll just interject my personal opinion that as a libertarian, one has the free will to engage in an affair, but I believe it still hurts another person whether or not they know.

Hi synonymous 1, i'm an anonymous user of underchan (<https://tcxb6cwaejftlefl.onion>), we translate the number 1 of your magazine to spanish. Other numbers can translate soon.

You are not alone.

I recommend you that have got a PGP key for cipher & signs emails.

-Anonymous

DEAR ANONYMOUS #2,

LibertORian appreciates your going above and beyond the call of duty! We are eternally grateful for the time, effort, and dedication you invested. As a libertarian, there was no need for you to do this, but because you did, many Spanish speaking deep web users now have a new forum. Some of the ANSI encoding was lost, and I replaced the Ns with tildas and accented vowels. Issues of LibertORian en Espan~ol will be posted on the deep web site as they are made available.

What I appreciate the most is knowing that I am not alone. While we may not agree on everything that is discussed, knowing that there are others who believe in freedom, equal justice, and privacy makes us feel less alienated. The system is corrupt, but I am certain there are more freedom-loving people than there are statistis. I feel just a bit more certain now. It is the hope of LibertORian that you, Dear Reader, feel the same way when you read our magazine.

LibertORian,  
What's will all this planned parenthood obsession? arent [sic] there more important things to worry about? This is wasting my time!

-Anonymous

DEAR ANONYMOUS #3,

You must not have taken the time to read it. Who has freedom when society allows this to be? ((L))

~~~~~

## B L U R B !

~~~~~

Here's that link to QUANTUM'S deep web site, where you can read the issue mentioned in ACQUIRING RELAY:

<http://mywuwj5f76usg7eo.onion>

~~~~~

PEOPLE ARE DISCUSSING LIBERTORIAN!

~~~~~

Someone on Reddit mentioned Libertarian waaaaay back when it was being posted on the defunct Slamspeech Pastebin. It can be found at:

<http://redd.it/3a5zah>

~~~~~

## The DEVolutionary Oath

~~~~~

Devo, pioneers of music video, and experimental concept band from the late 1970s and 1980s, subscribe to the philosophy of "de-evolution", or societal regression. This theme is explored throughout their body of music. Part of the band's lore includes the "De-evolutionary Oath", also called the "DEVolutionary Oath. The origin of this is a satirical thesis, "Trick Rules", by Dr. B.H. Shadduck (1924). The anti-evolution writing spoofed Darwin's arbitrary rules of evolution. Devo's own DEVolutionary Oath is as follows:

1. Be like your ancestors or be different
2. The fittest shall survive yet the unfit may live
3. Lay a million eggs or give birth to one
4. Wear gaudy colors or avoid display

## 5. We must repeat!

The five tenets are sometimes presented in a different order.

Parts of the DEVolutionary Oath are present in the lyrics to their song, "Wiggly World" (1979).

Notice how these (d)evolution rules are themselves of a libertarian nature! You're at liberty to make one of two choices. Devo's song, "Freedom of Choice" (1980), was about being given two specific choices, and having to choose between only the two given choices, actually limits one from making a free decision! This is beside the point, though.

Sometimes lines from the Devolutionary oath have tag lines like, "It's all the same", or "it doesn't matter". Though again, it isn't a direct reference to libertarianism, the content does sound fitting. So make of it what you will. I guess that's the most libertarian answer I could provide. So I leave you with the words of Mark Mothersbaugh, Devo's lead singer, at the end of his live concert on the "Now It Can Be Told" album (1989): "Be happy or not! Good night!" (L)

~~~~~

## Q U O T A B L E    Q U O T E S

~~~~~

"The smallest minority on Earth is the individual. Those who deny individual rights cannot claim to be defenders of minorities."

-Ayn Rand

"We cannot solve our problems with the same thinking we used when we created them."

-Albert Einstein

((L))

```

      #####
    \   oo /          /\
u^u^u^u^u^u^u^u^u^u^u^u^u^u^u^u( ,\^u^
* DEEP WEB SITE OF THE DAY *       \_(
< > >                OVERCHAN              < < <
                        A N D
< > >                UNDERCHAN             < < <

```

OVERCHAN  
<http://lyp6sf5tzd6mbnmc.onion>  
<http://oniichanylo2tsi4.onion>  
<http://overchan.lolz>

UNDERCHAN  
http://tcxb6cwaejftlefl.onion

CHANS are the image boards (IBs) of the Deep Web. A person submits a name, email address (optional), a message for the world, and an image (optional on some sites). It's an equivalent to a dial-up BBS message board.

Two chans that have been helpful to LibertoRian are OVERCHAN (in English) and the unrelated UNDERCHAN (in Spanish).

Let's begin with Overchan. Someone there keeps an Overchan overview in their custody. They sent a file to LiberTORian, which appears to be a collection of postings on Overchan itself. I will post the description found in part 1 here. In future issues of LiberTORian, you can read the overview files in their entirety.

Here's the text of part 1:

\*\*\*\*\*

```
post 1:
```

Hey chums, perhaps you're tired of shitty admins and mods. That's probably why you come here. Don't like moot? 8chan not your fancy either? Some other chan/forum you were using get v& by the US Gov't? Masterchan and the off-topic system is amazing, but there are alternatives. This not cutting it either?

WELL THERE IS AN OPTION 4 U!

It's called overchan.

Overchan is a distributed set of imageboards that have no global moderation.

Overchan sends threads from one frontend to another via NNTP, like usenet or newsgroups. Overchan runs on the software SRNd (Some Random NNTP daemon).

```
> Overchan creates an imageboard styled forum based on NNTP articles in
> specific groups using static HTML documents, creates thumbnails for
> posted images.
```

Because of the NNTP backend, servers can peer with each other and share threads.

```
> Every person that runs this software can manually link, sync, or peer
> with other people to have decentralized and almost censorship free
> means of communications.
```

Each frontend can have its own moderation however. If you don't like one frontend's mods, just go to a different frontend, and you can still shitpost on the same threads.

\*\*\*\*\*

And now, presenting Underchan!

Underchan is a Spanish speaking chan like Overchan. Because of its name, I like to consider it the Spanish counterpart to Overchan, except that they aren't related. (I just may be wrong, of course.) Underchan likes to think of themselves as a vintage BBS system, as if it were a private dial-in line.

For you newbs to chans, boards are divided into different directories, each a different topic. Many chans follow a structure where each topic is assigned a letter or code: for example: /a/ for anime, /pol/ for politics, /h/ for hacking, etc. each topic is in its own subdirectory. On Underchan, the divisions are few:

- o underchan.underchan
- o underchan.confesionario
- o underchan.eth0
- o underchan.oraculo
- o underchan.archivo

Underchan.underchan is a general forum. Mainly the topic is about the deep web, privacy, activism and hacking and such topics, but people are at liberty to discuss almost anything that doesn't fit into the other categories. People at underchan are as active as those in any of the English-speaking boards.

Those on underchan have shown an interest in translating LiberTORian into Spanish. It is a slow process but those involved already translated issue 1 and are working on issues 2 and 3. LiberTORian plans on hosting the Spanish translations on its web site alongside their counterparts.

All told, overchan and underchan represent two healthy, tech-savvy communities that can provide a lot of help and support for explorers of the deep web. ((L))

~~~~~

A LA CARTE: Its time has come!

~~~~~

When you go to a restaurant and you order an entree, the waiter doesn't charge you for the whole menu, isn't that true? Well, why does the cable or satellite company charge you for channels you don't watch?

Cable companies and TV channels have a lot to gain from forcing the consumer to bundle their channels into packages. First of all, the network owners get your money for the channel whether or not you watch it. The cable and satellite companies like it because they receive part of the profits from all the channels in their bundles. And they LOVE it if you enjoy a certain high-package channel so much that you upgrade to a higher tier just for one channel!

Some have said that televisin is in a new golden age. I totally disagree. I think that, while there are some quality, innovative shows, the ratio of good to pathetic programs is decreasing. And with the rise of Netflix and the binge-watching trend and the online availability of everything, TV is doing little to even try to remain competitive. Wouldn't television be more appealing if you saved money by buying only the channels you want?

TV channels rely on advertising revenue, but they also benefit from bundling. It's the only way the NBC family of channels still exists with its dismal numbers. Same for CNN and al Jazeera and so on.

Dish Network, which is the most consumer friendly TV provider, supports changing the law to allow a la carte purchasing of channels. (Right now it's illegal to offer TV channels a la carte.) Don't you agree that people shouldn't have to pay for things they don't want? ((L))

~~~~~

### MEET THE LIBERTARIANS, PART 3: CONSTITUTIONAL LIBERALS

~~~~~

Constitutional Conservatives (see LiberTORian Issue 5) often to have the ability to quote the United States Constitution by Article and paragraph, the way fundamentalist Christians can quote the Bible. A Constitutional liberal isn't as likely to share this ability, but is focused on applied liberty. A Constitutional liberal lives his life freely and doesn't bother with the law itself- until his rights are infringed upon.

There is no stereotype for a Constitutional liberal, though one often thinks of one as young millennials, who don't subscribe to a religion or are atheist, and live life freely. But they also can be rugged individualists who make the world a better place, and aren't even aware they are libertarians- again, until someone steps in and tries to limit their liberty.

A prime example is Silicon Valley. computer programmers, game designers, hardware makers, largely fit into this group. They spend their lives innovating by just doing what they enjoy. But recently, they've been having frequent run-ins with the federal government, which has been trying to dictate new and absurd regulations upon them that make their job difficult, impossible, or will shut them down due to financial burden.

A prime example of Constitutional liberalism at work is Atari corp. Founded by liberals Nolan Bushnell and Ralph Baer, These two young geniuses invented the first mass-produced arcade game: \*Pong\*, and had a hit on their hands. With their newfound success, they employed more programmers, all fresh, young, out-of the-box think-

ing talent. Atari never pictured itself a corporation and, because of that, never had a rigid structure. Employees had casual flexible hours. The only ties they were were tie dye shirts. They bought a hot tub where everyone went to create ideas and socialize with their co-workers, who were more seen as friends and co-eds than fellow employees. They also had great teeth.

You see, one day, a traveling insurance salesman came to them and offered to sell dental insurance to their employees. This is pre-Obama "care", and the plan was so great at rates so low that they literally are illegal today. Employees were free to take time off to take advantage of any dental issue they needed. And they did. At Atari, everyone was treated well, they did what they loved to do, they even were allowed to enjoy the benefit of recreational substances. They were so successful that Warner Entertainment bought them in 1977. Not bad for a ragtag band of hippies.


Many Constitutional liberals subscribe to a radical form of economic philosophy known as \*Agorism\*.

Agorism is economic anarchism. The fundamental philosophy of agorism is the belief that libertarian economics should not be achieved via statist means. Their definition of "statist means" is extremely rigid, for to an agorist, \*ANY\* government at all is a form of statism. To use a practical application: an agorist will believe in eliminating all taxes, but opposes electing politicians who will eliminate all taxes because elections are run by the state, and anything run by the state is a form of statism.

The primary tenet of agorism is the concept of counter-economics. Counter-economics is the idea that all trade should be deregulated and free to all people. Counter-economics refers to the purchase or sale of products regulated or banned







The Center for Medical Progress has released seven videos at the time of this writing, and more by the time this issue is released. Thanks to the TV program, \*Dana\* on the Blaze network. Dana is the only program we know of (email LibertORian if it isn't true) that shows excerpts of these videos and offers serious discussion. David Daleidon is a regular on Dana. Daleidon is the man behind the Planned Parenthood videos (See LibertORian Issue 7). When the third video was released, Dana asked if that was the worst one. He said that it does get worse. It was hard to imagine, but he was right. Each video, except for video 6, was progressively more barbaric than the last. "Barbaric" was used often in the Issue 7 article for lack of an appropriate word. Planned Parenthood's evil is behind words.

Video 7 was released, and it was the most horrific yet. The only thing sicker are people who become aroused while watching them... and it's apparent that people that sick are probably Planned Parenthood employees anyway! What is in video 7 prompted Dana to ask David Daleidon, "It can't get worse than this." He responded, "Yes it does." Dana then asked what do they do in the 12th video, eat a baby? (There are 12 videos in all.)

Video 7 continues the discussion of Holly O'Donnell, who, as mentioned

in LibertORian 7, is a baby part procurement specialist for Stem Express via Planned Parenthood. Earlier videos discuss brutal treatment of live babies. In video 7, O'Donnell describes Planned Parenthood's most gruesome act yet.

NOTE: THERE ARE SOME THINGS IN THE DEEP WEB SO SICK I CAN NOT READ OR VIEW THEM. THIS IS ONE OF THE MOST SICK THINGS EVER; IT MAKES ME SICK TO WRITE IT. IT MADE ME SICK WHEN I HEARD IT IN MY CAR- I HAD TO PULL OVER FOR A MOMENT TO RECOVER FROM A NAUSEA (I WAS IN MY CAR WHEN I FIRST HEARD IT). PLEASE NOTE THE NEXT PARAGRAPH IS DISTURBING, BUT MUST BE TOLD! \$

The baby was another boy, this one likely to be over 20 weeks of age, outside the age it could "legally" be murdered. The abortion was an attempt that failed. The baby was brutalized, but born alive. His heart was still beating. O'Donnell was called over. PP needed this baby's entire brain intact. That's what made killing this one so difficult. I don't remember if it was O'Donnell or one of the other butchers who did it; my mind is trying to subconsciously block it and I just can't review the video again. This baby, who looked more like a newborn than O'Donnell has seen yet at PP, was badly wounded, but they needed to get his entire brain- it was good money. To get the brain, the PP

butcher cut his entire head, right through his face, to get to it. They give no second thought to what they do at PP. To them the bottom line is the almighty dollar.

\$

!!! END OF SICK PARAGRAPH !!!

\$

Just writing this made me sick. It was difficult for me to do. This is the reality of Planned Parenthood, which from now on will be referred to in LiberTORian as \*Planned Predators\*

Whenever I see one of these videos, I want to grab the nearest child and hug him/her tightly. May God bless that child. No child may suffer the fate as those in the hands of this evil organization. Recently, Glenn Beck said that if we do nothing, America will be remembered in history as WORSE THAN the Nazis. Well, we are. Evil as the Nazis are, they never did THIS to living humans on a regular basis. ISIS, one of the most evil forces on planet Earth, doesn't do this to their prisoners. Jared Ogden is a former navy seal who fought against terrorists in the Mid-East. He witnessed the torturous acts committed by terrorists. He testifies that Planned Predators is worse.

When it comes to good and evil, it is sometimes clearly defined. What Planned Predators does is undeniably evil. It is not more clear. There is good and evil in the world and anyone who can't see this is a denier or on the side of evil. The Nazis committed acts of pure evil and people turned a blind eye. Not to act IS to act. Allowing it to happen is the same as partaking in their plan. This moment in history is our turn to face evil and choose sides. Barack Obama and many of the politicians who bitterly defend and support PP chose their sides. They chose evil. They'll use their

positions of power, their blood money they receive from Planned Predators, and their Alinsky-style attacks on the forces of good to protect their partners. Money means so much to such people that even evil that is this clear will be allowed to continue, funded by Americans' hard-earned money as Title X funds, and paid back to them as kickbacks from PP.

There is some good news in the story to report. Stem Express, the evil accomplices who had dealings with Planned Predators, for some reason had an ethical epiphany... more like they saw the writing on the walls and wanted to save their own sorry hides while the ship hadn't yet sunk, just ended their contract with Planned Predators. The money that PP receives for treating the weakest and most defenseless among us like trash for Stem Express is a drop in the bucket. But to PP, to whom money is everything, every dollar lost is a big, big deal! There's nothing they hate more, outside facing the Nuremberg trials of the future.

So we watched video 7 and know that it can not be more clear that this is an evil that must be stopped. If you don't think so, watch the video at

<http://youtube.com/user/centerformedprogress>

If you still disagree AFTER watching it, don't read LiberTORian again and go find a nazi website to join.

But what to do? I don't know. I need your help. All I can say is, 1) PRAY, if you are of that persuasion. God does what we can't. 2) Work at a local level to shut down your nearest PP mill. Then work on the next, and the next. Even if your PP doesn't murder babies, what money they make funds it. 3) I NEED YOUR HELP! Please send YOUR ideas to [synonymous1@ruggedinbox.com](mailto:synonymous1@ruggedinbox.com). Thank you much! ((L))

~~~~~

## Libertarians vs. Christians?

- By Synonymous 2 -

~~~~~

While visiting NolanChart.com, I came across an article written by someone who scored libertarian. The article was named, "Do all Libertarians continue to oppose public prayer and Christianity?" I thought that was strange, since freedom of religion is a tenet of libertarianism. The article was half-right, but the author considered believing in the freedom of others to make their own decisions- including ones that are contrary to Christianity- to be wrong.

But what I found stranger was how a number of respondents, who also scored as libertarians, were in fact opposed to the free exercise of religion. The original author, in a response, also wrongly stated that Catholics don't consider Mormons to be Christians (a fallacy). Just put that in there to explain #1, below.

I prepared this entry, partly for the author, partly for those saying they're libertarian but have a problem with public prayer. My goal was to relate the argument back to the libertarianism, but the process to be a NolanChart writer is arduous.

| Reading this and I have to make it  
| clear.

|  
| 1) Catholics DO consider Mormons  
| to be Christians. Fundamentalists  
| are the ones who don't.

|  
| 2) A libertarian is free to  
| personally subscribe to any religious  
| convictions, including atheism. One  
| need not be opposed to God to be a  
| libertarian. And a Libertarian who  
| believes in God doesn't necessarily  
| condone "sinful" behavior simply  
| because s/he believes in a person's  
| right to make his/her own personal  
| decisions as an individual (drugs/  
| polygamy, etc.).

| 3) A person of ANY faith saying a  
| prayer in public is not a coercion  
| for the person who hears the  
| prayer to pray.

|  
| 4) Any "Libertarian" who opposes a  
| person's First Amendment right to  
| pray publicly isn't a libertarian.  
| If a person is a libertarian, s/he  
| would treat the right to public  
| prayer like they would the right to  
| public free speech. Prayer IS  
| speech. What libertarians oppose is  
| forcing someone to profess another's  
| faith. Anything more; i.e. opposing  
| public prayer, is a statist act.

|  
| 5) Defending a person's right to  
| pray in public by a libertarian is  
| not an endorsement of the religion,  
| or a statement that the libertarian  
| condones his/her religious beliefs.  
| A libertarian doesn't have to share  
| or approve of the beliefs of the  
| person praying, but as a defender of  
| individual liberty, respects their  
| right to do so.

|  
| 6) One of the primary reasons for  
| America's founding was to free  
| itself from an authoritarian  
| government in order to exercise  
| religion freely. This goes for the  
| Pilgrims' voyage to America, for  
| those who don't know their history,  
| or follow a revisionist form of  
| history.

|  
| 7) Most Christians do not wish to  
| force those who believe differently  
| to pray; they only wish to not  
| lose their right to exercise their  
| religious freedom. (See #3.) The  
| only people today I can think of  
| off hand who are trying to force  
| others to profess their religion  
| are ISIS and similar groups.

| Synonymous 2, LibertORian writer.

\$

MEET THE \$ENATOR\$ WHO VOTED TO  
CONTINUE FUNDING PLANNED PREDATOR\$

\$ By the congressional record \$  
CUT PASTE SHARE THIS PAGE EVERYWHERE

\$

Baldwin	(D-WI)	Murray	(D-WA)
Bennet	(D-CO)	Nelson	(D-FL)
Blumenthal	(D-CT)	Peter\$	(D-MI)
Booker	(D-NJ)	Reed	(D-RI)
Boxer	(D-CA)	Reid	(D-NV)
Brown	(D-OH)	\$ander\$	(I-VT)
Cantwell	(D-WA)	("IND", \$ocialist \$tati\$t running for pre\$ident a\$ a Democrat)	
Cardin	(D-MD)	\$chatz	(D-HI)
Darper	(D-DE)	\$chumer	(D-NY)
Ca\$ey	(D-PA)	\$haheen	(D-NH)
Coons	(D-DE)	\$tabenow	(D-MI)
Durbin	(D-IL)	Te\$ter	(D-MT)
Fein\$tein	(D-CA)	Udall	(D-NM)
"Franken"	(D-MN)	Warner	(D-VA)
(Name i\$ in quote\$ becau\$e he i\$ the "\$enator" from MN illegitimately)		Warren	(D-MA)
Gillibrand	(D-NY)	Whitehou\$e	(D-RI)
Heinrich	(D-NM)	Wyden	(D-OR)
Heitkamp	(D-ND)	Lind\$ey Graham (R-SC) did not vote becau\$e he wa\$ n't pre\$ent. He wa\$ too bu\$y with a photo op to defend human babies\$ from cruelty.	
Hirono	(D-HI)	The democrats who broke from their party dictates and had the courage to stand against brutal murdering of human babies:	
Kaine	(D-VA)	Donnelly	(D-IN) Thank you
King	(I-ME) "Ind"	Manchin	(D-WV) Thank you
Kirk	(R-IL) * Rep		
Klobuchar	(D-MN)		
Leahy	(D-VT)		
Markey	(D-MA)		
McCa\$ "KILL"	(D-MO)		
McConnell	(R-KY) * Rep		
Menendez	(D-NJ)		
Merkley	(D-OR)		
Mikul\$ki	(D-MD)		
Murphy	(D-CT)		

Babies can't vote or defend themselves, so it's up to you to defend their right. Ask if the money the senators receive from the abortion industry is enough to let them sleep at night. They have blood on their hands. As representatives of the people, they have no excuse to have ignored the Center for Medical Progress videos.

By the way, you can reach your senator at (202) 224-3121. By the way, since the NSA records our calls illegally (until six months after Rand Paul's vote takes effect), there's nothing wrong with recording theirs. If you have interesting experiences and your state allows sharing of recorded phone calls, I'm sure YouTube, Vimeo, DailyMotion, and the like will enjoy having it on them.

The vote took place one hour after CMP video #3 was released. This was their first video with actual footage depicting butchered human babies being sold on the black market. History will remember this vote. (L)

```

Everything
you needed .cckK.
to know ,o:.xx. ;xK. .xoX.
about ;l, :K: cdx: ,O,k'
,x; xO. lOx; .0;x.
.:dx,;cXKccc. c. oWx. .XKo
.:;cOl .XO .lNc cX; ,c; oN, 'lcoX. .ol .X. .'
co,.ld. kK. :o..X; 'oNx;c:.Ox ,lX0 .kNl:c.,xWo .Ok.:l.
0o.:c. .Wo .:oc..cWxc;.Wx, .Nxcl, oMc.:o:KO..;c;'Xc:OMkc.
do:. dXdlc. ,;;. ';;. .cl:. .cdO,
.cccxx.
'k:.;x,
XO:c;
,;;.
... ,;;. :.X; .cx.
;::;oK:.cc;'Xxl; oc cxxx
.x, oX; :O; 'x. lxoo
c. coOc .xd co cOxc co.
;o'ld oX: dl .:;;,dc ,rXylW0. .' .X, .;;
o;.oc .K0. dc ,dc .c;o' cd:.,NO cXo :cdk. .xl.:O,'oXx.rdK. .c.
.O:cd. :Nl ;O OO lNk .:OX..okMl .:xMo .cc. Xl,lOO 0,.XNo" xk .oc.
;kc. .x. lO OO:c,OOcc.;koc. xxcc..Ooc;.cc;okc' ,Xxlo' ,x' :o:,
.ko

```

...but were afraid to ask.

----- By Synonymous 1 -----

When the first news of the Ashley Madison hack broke, LiberTORian #6 was almost set for release and there was a small piece put in at the last minute before doing any research on the topic. Now over a month has passed and there is much to be known about the topic. An overview of the information will be presented here.

#### WHAT IS ASHLEY MADISON?

Ashley Madison is a web site that capitalizes on unfaithful people by helping them to set up and engage in affairs through its online tools and networks.

#### WHO WAS BEHIND THE ATTACK?

The hackers (or hacker) is known as IMPACT TEAM.

#### WHAT DID IMPACT TEAM DO?

Impact Team hacked the Ashley Madison database and in late July, 2015, announced their deed. They made de-

mands for Ashley Madison to close down their web site.

After one month, and no action on the part of Ashley Madison, Impact team released the records of Ashley Madison's 37-million user database. The information was released on August 18th.

Ashley Madison CEO Noel Biderman reacted to Impact team by acting aloof and dismissing them. Impact Team's response was to release a new hack including, but not limited to, all of his email correspondence. There was more information in this data dumps than all of the data of its users.

Strangely, one "respectable" news source actually identified Noel Biderman, the self-proclaimed King of infidelity as some morality crusader who verbally attacked Impact Team, and secretly held an Ashley Madison account! Strange.

#### WHAT DID THE ASHLEY MADISON RELEASE FROM IMPACT TEAM LOOK LIKE?

It looks like this:

IMPACT TEAM

Avid Life Media has failed to take down Ashley Madison and Established Men. We have explained the fraud, deceit, and stupidity of ALM and their members. Now everyone gets to see their data.

Find someone you know in here? Keep in mind the site is a scam with thousands of fake female profiles. See ashley madison fake profile lawsuit; 90-95% of actual users are male. Chances are your man signed up on the world's biggest affair site, but never had one. He just tried to. If that distinction matters.

Find yourself in here? It was ALM that failed you and lied to you. Prosecute them and claim damages. Then move on with your life. Learn your lesson and make amends. Embarrassing now, but you'll get over it.

Any data not signed with key 6E50 3F39 BA6A EAAD D81D ECFF 2437 3CD5 74AB AA38 is fake.

Following the message is a link to the .torrent file for the Ashley Madison dump.

#### WHY DID IMPACT TEAM HACK THE ASHLEY MADISON WEB SITE?

The above message provides some insight. Still, I'm unsure of their exact motive. They did reveal that most of the women's profiles were fakes created by the company. That is likely to provide a clue. It's not up to LiberTORian to provide an answer that's false just because we don't have the answer. But here are a few educated guesses, based on the content of the letter.

- 1) Impact Team was/were members who were burned by Ashley Madison's fraud and deceit.
- 2) Impact Team was aware of Ashley Madison's deceit and hacked its site as a form of online justice.
- 3) Impact Team had a member who was

cheated on, and Ashley Madison enabled it to happen.

- 4) Impact Team had a problem with the premise of enabling people to have affairs.

More research is required on LiberTORian's part. We would love to hear from a member of Impact Team, in fact, if they write something for LiberTORian, we'd publish it in its entirety. We're curious to get some insight.

#### WHERE CAN I GET THE TORRENT FILE?

<http://mywuwj5f76usg7eo.onion/stuff/impact-team-ashley-new-torrent.torrent>

Contact LiberTORian if the link is no longer available at the time of publication.

#### IS ANY OF THE INFORMATION AVAILABLE ON THE DEEP WEB?

[illegible]

MAGNET LINK TO ASHLEY MADISON DUMP TORRENT FILE magnet:?xt=urn:btih:40ae8a90de40ca3afa763c8edb43fc1fc47d75f1&dn=The+Complete+Ashley+Madison+Dump+from+the+Impact+Team&tr=udp%3A%2F%2Ftracker.openbittorrent.com%3A80&tr=udp%3A%2F%2Fopen.demonii.com%3A1337&tr=udp%3A%2F%2Ftracker.coppersurfer.tk%3A6969&tr=ud



There is a web site called ASHLEY MADISON DATABASE, which allows users enter a person's email address to discover if that address is in the user list. The URL is:

<http://cndezd67wnjzg37t.onion>

Curiously, it asks for \*your\* e-mail address as well as the one in question. It doesn't seem pertinent to the database, so perhaps the system returns results to the provided e-mail address. (LiberTORian never tried it out.) The list isn't readable, but searches the database for the address in the query.

ARE PEOPLE WHO USED A FAKE NAME OR ID SAFE FROM BEING EXPOSED?

No. Users had to provide their credit card or financial data to make payments to Avid Life. Those records are very real, searchable, and easily traced to their users.

I DOWNLOADED THE DATABASE FILE. I CAN'T OPEN IT. HOW CAN I OPEN IT?

Excellent question. Many curious people downloaded the information but have never encountered a .dump format file before.

A guide to opening the files has been made available at:

<http://kgcfwmjmf5tnfklq.onion>

Here is the text of that guide:

"The Guide

Hi, so this is a guide, how to open the Ashley Madison dump files released in July 2015.

1st

Download the dumps. Here is the magnet for the torrent. [see pg. 16]

2nd

Install WAMP server and install it if you don't have a MySQL server

running already. Here is the link. [<http://www.wampserver.com/en/#download-wrapper>]

3rd

Download and install MySQL Workbench, link here.

4th

Make sure WAMP is running and the SysTray icon is green. NOTE: If you are running Skype, turn it off!

5th

Run MySQL Workbench and select the running wampserver. When the interface is loaded, create a scheme named after one of the .dump.gz files.

6th

Open the .dump.gz file you want and extract the content (basically a .dump file).

7th

Get back to Workbench and on the left select Management->Data Import. Select Import from Self-Contained File and find the .dump file you extracted. NOTE: When looking for the file, in the File Type menu select All files. The default target schema has to be the schema you created before.

8th

Start the import. NOTE: It will take days to import, the dumps are huge. Repeat the same with all the other dump.gz files. Then you can see them in the left menu under Schemas->YourSchema->Tables->TheTableInThere ->

Click on the small Table icon that pops out when placing your mouse to the table name.

Thank you for visiting."

DO YOU HAVE OTHER QUESTIONS/ANSWERS?

If you have more to add to the topic of Ashley Madison, send plain text to LiberTORian at [synonymous1@ruggedinbox.com](mailto:synonymous1@ruggedinbox.com). ((L))

~~~~~

LIBERTORIAN IS ACTIVIST LITERATURE!!

~~~~~

There is nothing passive about this publication. You are called to go beyond just reading. Have you noticed it yet? Since issue 1 we've encouraged you to conduct research, become engaged in your community, write, make telephone calls, teach, spread the wealth, help others, etc.

I hope you are not just passively reading LiberTORian. I, Synonymous 1, and the other regulars write because that's what we know how to do, but I also to the extent that I'm able, I do the things I ask of you, dear reader. Freedom won't be regained by watching others while we sit idly by. For the ones who are

~~~~~

Happy 100th Birthday, Raggedy Ann!!

~~~~~

Labor Day marked the 100th birthday of Raggedy Ann, the doll that young girls cherish. She was created by Richard Gruelle, for his daughter, Marcella. He also authored stories featuring her adventures (and that of her counterpart, Andy) for her

There is a story of Raggedy Ann not told on Wikipedia.

The 1910s suffered the first wave of progressivism in the school system. For the Gurelles it came in the form of administering new vaccines. Marcella's parents gave their daughter's school permission to provide her a vaccination. They did. But then the school took the initiative and gave a second dose of the same vaccine without their approval. The second dose was too much for her to fight off and she died at the young age of 13.

- By Synonymous 1 -

currently active are those OPPOSED to individual liberty, and they're on the move.

So seek deep within yourself, and if you are a prayerful person, do that. Discover where your talents lie and use them for the benefit of others. For it is by helping others that we make life better for ourselves.

As libertarians, we don't have to do anything we don't wish to, but paradoxically, we do have the responsibility to defend the freedoms we believe in, or else we lose them.

So, what are you going to do? ((L))

- By G.P.S. -

After the poor girl's death, Raggedy Ann became a symbol of an anti-vaccination movement. This was lost to history.

This story is an example of government taking the welfare of children away from parents and into its own hands. It happens today; Obama "care" and the "Free Jessica" story out of Boston are two tragic examples. Jessica, under different conditions, almost suffered Marcella's fate. It also raises the issue of parental rights regarding inoculation. Just like using a safety belt or not, it's the parents' right to determine in their best judgment what is the best way to care for their children, short of neglect or inflicting harm.

So the next time you see a Raggedy Ann doll, thank her for standing up for individual rights! ((L))





\* AFTER \*

Four months have passed since Price was hailed as a demi-god by the liberal establishment. Things have turned out as expected for Gravity Payments, but the media that lavished praises upon the company will let the story to fade into obscurity on their good note, rather than retract their assessment of Dan Price.

The employees are becoming disgruntled and quitting Gravity Payments. One ex-employee in particular, named Maisey McMaster, worked through thick and thin at Gravity Payments. She began at a much lower entry-level wage. For years she sacrificed time with her family, working hard instead, until she attained the position of Financial Manager. Under the new policy, she earns very little more than she already does, but she watches unskilled people who just began working there get their pay increased to what she makes. The people are inexperienced, unskilled, and unable to do what she does. "He gave raises to people who have the least skills," she noted, "and the ones who are taking on the most didn't get much of a bump!"

Paying \$70000 a year, equal to \$34 per hour, means that Gravity Payments will have to raise their costs to their clients, which makes them unable to be competitive in the marketplace. Clients are already leaving the company, which only increases the percentage of profit earmarked for payroll. The entire system is unsustainable.

Gravity Payments' stockholders see a doomed business model and are pulling their investments. Who would invest in a business that doesn't make a profit? Paying everyone the same amount is revolutionary to some, but for those with common sense, it is an example of how *\*not\** to run a business!

Gravity Payments is on the road to folding. But it has other problems

too. Dan Price has a brother, Lucas Price. Lucas is a minority owner in the company who is now suing his brother. He has made claims that he has been denied benefits that are part of the policy for his company for being a minority owner. He's also suing over enacting a policy that eliminates the company's profit and willingly sets it on a course of destruction. The lawsuit came days after the change was announced. Gravity Payments is being crushed under the gravity of its own self-created troubles.

\* The Libertarian View \*

Dan Price is free to run Gravity Payments any way he wishes, including in such a way that it regulates itself out of the marketplace. The company doesn't have a responsibility to employ its employees. A business is not a charity. It is in business to sustain itself and provide goods or services to people who seek them in order to do so. Dan Price shouldn't be rewarded by the government for his incompetence; the taxpayers are not a charity, either. Gravity Payments' left-wing philosophy may just garner the esteemed title of "too big to fail" from the kakistocracy.

\* The minimum wage swindle \*

Dan Price's persistence on providing equality was partly based on his wish to raise the minimum wage. The minimum wage issue is a way the left wing (and I include George W. Bush in that category) tugs at the heartstrings of people who don't understand economics. However, it is first-year rudimentary economics that it is detrimental to everyone. It is a sad commentary that relatively few people understand this. But who is at fault? The people for their ignorance, or the school system who failed them on purpose? I ordinarily blame the individual, but no one is libertarian overnight. As children, most of us don't know to question things we're taught in

school.

FACT: only 2% of people earn the minimum wage. Most of those people receive raises as they become more experienced. The minimum wage is for people first entering the work force for the first time in their field, until they gain experience.

Now, let's apply Gravity Payments' model to an entire society. Assume that President Obama issued one of his famous unconstitutional decrees that all people must be paid exactly \$70000 a year. What would happen?

Firstly, since people are guaranteed their pay regardless of the amount of work they do, everyone would do as little work as possible. Performance rates will dramatically drop.

Pay equality now means that the work of everyone is considered of equal value, whether that person is serving burgers or performing brain surgery. Now who would want to become a brain surgeon, when their work is considered of no more value than a teenager working at McDonalds? No one would invest their time and rack up government loans to become a brain surgeon. What's the point, when you earn just as much dropping out of school to be a gas station attendant?

By the way, when Obama "care" is fully implemented, all doctors and specialists' pay will be determined by the government, and all doctors will be paid the same, regardless of the training or skills required. Communism at work!

Not only will the more valued jobs become scarce, the lowest-level jobs will be the ones in demand! America would become a slouch society. It's already becoming one, with welfare made to look more glamorous than work creating an imbalanced system where more and more people are living off fewer and fewer taxpayers.

This is the problem with the minimum wage. It is artificially imposed by the government and interferes with economic nature. Anytime the minimum wage increases, for the smallest of small businesses, (the ones the left loves until they become too successful,) it means the difference between remaining in business or closing their doors forever. If the business you invested all your money and time into happens to be at the government raises the minimum wage, well, it looks like you're out in the cold. With all the talk of "fairness" from politicians, this flies in the face of fairness.

Like guns, if you make paying a person below the minimal wage a crime, only criminals will pay people the minimum wage. So is the case with businesses who employ illegal aliens to avoid the law. Doing so takes jobs from people who lost their jobs due to the minimum wage hike. Of course, the politicians turn a blind eye because illegal immigration is a means for illegal votes for some.

In short, the effects of a minimum wage increase, in order:

- o Smallest businesses lose their businesses
- o Employees struggling the most lose their jobs
- o Higher ranking employees demand more
- o Additional costs cause businesses to raise their prices to compensate
- o Overall, the cost of living increases
- o More businesses seek to employ illegal immigrants to skirt the law
- o The unemployment rate rises, and the available jobs for legal citizens contracts.

Parts of California have increased their minimum wage to an unsustainable \$15 per hour, a state that is on a suicide course anyway. Please learn from the tragedy of Dan Price and always remember that the amount one is paid means something. ((L))

#####

CLASSIC .TXT FILE OF THE DAY

coladrik.txt

#####

COLA GUIDE FOR THE '80s

This file probably dates to the mid-1980s, right after Coca-Cola switched to New Coke and back again in 1985. For some reason, "Like Cola", released by the 7-Up company in 1982, is missing from the list. The file is in ALL CAPS, which normally indicates was typed on an Apple computer or another classic computer that defaulted to caps, but the BBS information is still in normal format. The author, THE PHANTOM was a prolific writer of BBS text files. Enjoy this blast from the past!

\*\*\*\*\*

#### COLA GUIDE FOR THE 80'S

THIS IS A COMPLETE GUIDE TO THE MAJOR COLAS ON THE MARKET MIDWAY THROUGH THE 80'S. WITH ALL OF THE CHANGES THE COCA COLA COMPANY IS MAKING, THE CUTTING COMERCIALS THAT PEPSI IS MAKING, AND THE COME-BACK RC COLA IS TRYING TO MAKE, A COOL REFRESHMENT ON A WARM DAY CAN BE VERY CONFUSING.

THIS IS ONLY A GUIDE OF THE  
COLAS, NOT OTHER SOFT-DRINKS, LIKE  
BIG RED AND SPRITE

COLA (KO`LE), n. A CARBONATED SOFT DRINK HAVING A SYRUP BASE MADE FROM THE DRIED LEAVES OF THE COCA PLANT AND THE SEEDS OF KOLA NUTS, TOGETHER WITH SWEETENERS AND OTHER FLAVORINGS.

I WILL START WITH COCA COLA. THIS COMPANY STARTED WITH A BEVRAGE CALLED COKE, WITCH IS BELIEVED TO HAVE ONCE CONTAINED COCAINE. AS THE FIRST 99 YEARS PASSED (ENDING IN 1985), COKE KEPT ITS ORIGINAL RECIPE, AND PRODUCED OTHER POPULAR SOFT DRINKS:

COKE-> A SEMI-SWEET DRINK WITH A 'CARBONATION-BITE'.

AMERICANS, THOUGH, WANTED A SUGAR-FREE DRINK TO KEEP THIER WASTE-LINES TRIM, THUS COCA COLA WAS FORCED TO PRODUCE ANOTHER DRINK.

TAB-> A SUGAR-FREE DIET DRINK PRODUCED TO TASE LIKE COKE, BUT WAS FAR-SWEETER.

DIET COKE-> A COKE WITH A NUTRA-SWEET REPLACEMENT FOR SUGAR THAT IS ALSO SWEETER THAN COKE.

CAFFEINE FREE DIET COKE-> A DIET COKE WITHOUT CAFFEINE.

COME EARLY 1985, THOUGH, COCA COLA DECIDED TO CHANGE ITS ORIGINAL RECIPE, AND CALL THE DRINK 'NEW COKE'. BUT AFTER MONTHS OF COMPLAINT, COCA COLA ANNOUNCED THAT IT WOULD BRING BACK OLD COKE, WITH THESE PRODUCT NAMES:

COKE CLASSIC-> THE ORIGINAL COKE.

COKE-> THE NEWER, SWEETER, COKE.

FINALLY, COCA COLA ALSO DECIDED TO CREATE A NEW COLA THAT IS BASED IN COKE'S HISTORY. MANY BAR'S AND TAPS IN THE PAST DECADES HAVE ADDED EITHER CHERRY OR VANILA FLAVORS TO ADD FLAVOR TO THE ORIGINAL COLA, BRINGING ABOUT:

CHERRY COKE-> THE ORIGINAL FLAVOR OF COKE WITH A CHERRY FLAVORING, MY PERSONAL FAVORITE, AFTER DRINKING IT FOR ONLY ONE WEEK.

'HAVE A COKE AND A SMILE'

NEXT, I WILL DISCUSS PEPSI COLA. PEPSI COLA WAS PUT ON THE MARKET SHORTLY AFTER COCA COLA. PEPSI HAS, THOUGH HAS CHANGED ITS RECIPE ABOUT FOUR TIMES WHILE OUT ON THE MARKET.

PEPSI-> A SOFT-DRINK THAT IS NOT AS CARBONATED AS COCA COLA, YET BASICALLY THE SAME.

PEPSI COLA ALSO FACED THE SAME SITUATION COCA COLA DID, AND THEY TO WERE FORCED TO PRODUCE DIET DRINKS:

DIET PEPSI-> A SUGAR-FREE SOFT-DRINK (WITH NUTRA-SWEET). IT IS SWEETER THAN PEPSI.

DIET PEPSI FREE-> A SUGAR FREE/CAFFEINE FREE SOFT-DRINK (ALSO WITH NUTRA-SWEET). IT TOO IS SWEETER THAN PEPSI.

PEPSI FREE-> A CAFFEINE FREE SOFT-DRINK THAT IS SWEETER THAN PEPSI.

'PEPSI, THE TASTE OF A NEW GENERATION'

NEITHER COKE NOR PEPSI STARTED WITH INTENTIONS OF BEING SOFT-DRINKS. NO, THEY WERE INTENDED AS 'WONDER DRUGS' INSTEAD. THEY WERE SAID TO BE ABLE TO CURE ANYTHING, BUT THEY WERE CHANGED TO SOFT-DRINKS, WHERE THEY SOON BECAME RIVALS.

AFTER THESE TWO COMPANIES RULED THE MARKET, A NEW SOFT DRINK CAME OUT WITH A MUCH SWEETER AND LESS CARBONATED TASTE. THIS SOFT DRINK TRIED TO GAIN A PLACE ON THE MARKET WITH ONE MAIN PRODUCT INSTEAD OF FOUR TO FIVE OR THEM. THIS DRINK GOES THROUGH PHASES OF POPULARITY.

ROYAL CROWN COLA-> A SWEET, LESS CARBONATED SOFT DRINK, PROBABLY PUT ON THE MARKET TO FURTHER CONFUSE US.

ROYAL CROWN COLA ALSO FACED THE SAME PROBLEM THAT COKE AND PEPSI DID, IT ALSO WAS FORCED TO PRODUCE A DIET DRINK, BUT THIERS WAS ALSO SODIUM FREE.

DIET RITE-> A SUGAR FREE, CAFFEINE FREE, AND SODIUM FREE SOFT DRINK.

'SOME PEOPLE GO OUT OF THIER WAY FOR AN RC'

I HOPE THAT THIS GUIDE HAS HELPED SLIGHTLY, IF NOT CONFUSED YOU GREATLY. TAKE MY ADVICE AND STICK TO THE ORIGINAL, LESS CONFUSING DRINK:



WATER-> A TASTELESS, CARBONLESS, AND CALORIELESS DRINK CREATED BEFORE THE HOMO SAPIEN.

--THE PHANTOM

X-----X

Another file downloaded from: NIRVANAnet(tm)

& the Temple of the Screaming Electron	Jeff Hunter	510-935-5845
Rat Head	Ratsnatcher	510-524-3649
Burn This Flag	Zardoz	408-363-9766
realitycheck	Poindexter Fortran	415-567-7043
Lies Unlimited	Mick Freen	415-583-4102

Specializing in conversations, obscure information, high explosives, arcane knowledge, political extremism, diversive sexuality, insane speculation, and wild rumours. ALL-TEXT BBS SYSTEMS.

Full access for first-time callers. We don't want to know who you are, where you live, or what your phone number is. We are not Big Brother.

"Raw Data for Raw Nerves"

X-----X

~~~~~

At last! LibertORian Public PGP Key - By Synonymous 1 -

~~~~~

It took forever, but I'm figuring out PGP and how it works. Thanks go to many sources, but Quantum 7765 is one of those sources. So I'm trying out this: the Public PGP key for Synonymous 1. Dear reader, why don't you send me an encrypted email?

The email address is synonymous1@ruggedinbox.com

-----BEGIN PGP SIGNATURE-----  
Version: GnuPG v2

iQEcBAEBCAAGBQJV65NZAAoJEBwu5qy1IhluzggH/ifOP2jpY5U20b+qr7p6qHJS  
t4KaJpYcbRNoVE4AgXlZSPP/Qk7gKePd7SKJNHeDXzPnl+jMU8tgeYLq6VWqhJB/  
pYLOHext/nVDhbREuY+KGHk7WNm81AEi0fcwkisSZF3XsH91escZX4r52XqR8Yx1  
5A314AZSQoNGvdr4aBUvE6zbRc9kt6elOqlZyMLJcE0I10uyJVfQx4hpsSAdxriO  
rfK+pnwltxr7GUugeQCYvNKxV/9+qg08vabKYkDJMBcdioii64xqq61AAVOW/I8x  
6hgah1DjohiQJTDXGlbtoxFZu2w3f94cSbEl2QF0uq92OyJPQwnMz/09sgRholc=  
=9cJr

-----END PGP SIGNATURE-----

~~~~~

HELP SWEET CAKES BY MELISSA!

~~~~~

If you remember, the company Sweet Cakes by Melissa is being harassed and persecuted by the state of Oregon, because they exercised their Constitutional and libertarian right to refuse to bake a cake for a homosexual marriage. 1) This is a violation of the First Amendment, as the cake is part of a ceremony that is morally wrong to Sweet Cakes by Melissa's religious convictions. They have made cakes for homosexual couples and will, but they refuse to make one for part of a ceremony that they oppose for religious reasons. 2) As a libertarian, a person, their company, or whoever has a right to refuse any business anyway. The government has no right trying to compel people to perform any kind of labor that they wish not to do.

Let's put the shoe on the other foot now. Imagine if a homosexual baker was told to make a cake for some religious group, and the cake was in celebration of traditional values. The baker was told to write, "homosexuality is a reprehensible sin" on it. I stand with the baker's right to refuse to write on the cake a message they don't believe in. A confection is someone's art. It is no different than being forced to create art for a cause you abhor.

(Actually, it's reaching the point that the hypocrites in the U.S. government are punishing Christians for even stating that homosexuality is a sin.)

LibertORian wanted to help by having a cake made by Sweet Cakes by Melissa. They no longer make cakes, but are being charged \$135,000 for exercising their rights. They send the below form letter to those who contact them. Why not reach out to them with a donation? ((L))

-----  
Thank you for your email. We have received an overwhelming amount of emails, and unfortunately we are not able to respond to each one.

Thank you to each person who has so generously supported our family at this time. If you are wondering how or where to donate, you can at [helpsweetcakesbymelissa@continuetogive.com](mailto:helpsweetcakesbymelissa@continuetogive.com)  
Or p.o box 126 Sandy or 97055

We continue to believe that all Americans should be free to live by their faith at home and in the work place without fear of being punished by the government. We also will not be silenced. We will fight with everything we have. The overwhelming response to our story reminds us that so many Americans believe this too. Thank you again for your support, and God bless.

Melissa and Aaron Klein

Subject: God bless and thank you Re:  
From: "Melissa Klein" <[sweetcakeslovesgod@gmail.com](mailto:sweetcakeslovesgod@gmail.com)>  
Date: Thu, July 9, 2015 11:29 pm  
To: [synonymous1@ruggedinbox.com](mailto:synonymous1@ruggedinbox.com)  
Priority: Normal

-----  
PS From LibertORian: I'd publish an article like this for a gay baker if it was open season on them, too.

~~~~~

BUT WHAT ABOUT... POLYGAMY?

~~~~~

The libertarian position on polygamy is consistent with that of all marriage in general.

Marriage is older than government. Marriage is a religious ceremony. The government has no business with religion.

There should be:

- o No marriage licenses
- o No tax penalties for being married
- o No civil ceremonies for marriages
- o No different treatment of anyone based on marital status

People should have the right to marry in accordance with their religious beliefs.

Some religions consider marriage a bond between one man and one woman.

Examples:

- o Judaism
- o Catholicism
- o Baptist

Clergy and holy people reserve the right to hold marriage rites according to their faith. In essence, marriage is a rite, not a right. Freedom to marry does not exist in the U.S. Constitution, but the freedom exists in the First Amendment as the freedom of religion.

Some religions permit homosexual marriage:

Examples:

- o "Orthodox" Episcopal
- o Unitarian

Again, because there is freedom of religion, every faith has the right to marry whomever their beliefs allow.

- By Synonymous 1 -

Then there's polygamy.

The view is just as consistent. A religion that allows a person to be married to more than one other person shouldn't be told by any government that they may not have that right.

Examples:

- o Islam
- o Certain Mormon sects

If one's religion sees polygamy as just, and all those involved are consensual and adults, why should a state come in and deny the right?

The hypocrisy of the in-your-face #lovewins movement is glaring: they refuse to support the polygamist movement. This is despite the fact that every argument the gay marriage movement used, which carry little legal weight, can be applied to the case for polygamy, and usually with better standing. Replace "traditional marriage" with "gay rights" & "gay" with "more than two people", and ask how you can have it both ways.

Example:

- o Why is it that those bigoted, closed-minded traditional marriage people won't allow to gay people equal rights to love each other?

BECOMES

- o Why is it that those bigoted, closed-minded gay marriage people won't allow more than two people equal rights to love each other?

Good question. In the end, they are the least tolerant among us. Keep the government out of marriage. Let religions allow whatever type of marriage they subscribe to. ((L))

~~~~~

D. N .S.    L O O K U P    F A I L E D

~~~~~

- By Synonymous 1 -

The new 5.x TOR browsers compensate for an exploit that allows tyrants in the government to discover the IP addresses of people who open PDF files while on the deep web. This is a milestone in security, just as NoScript was. Keep in mind that it is always unsafe to open a PDF file while on the deep web. LibertORian is available in both .txt and PDF formats. .txt has proven to be safe but some people prefer the PDF version. Be advised that you should NEVER OPEN THE PDF VERSION OF LIBERTORIAN WHILE ONLINE OR WHILE USING TOR! Always download it, but only open it while offline. Even with the new protection offered by TOR, it's always best to err on the side of caution.

The PDF version of LibertORian is actually pretty useful. The images in LibertORian are created entirely with ANSI text. If you resize the view of the PDF issue to 16.7% and look at the pictures, they look much more like the images in your typical magazines.

It takes a lot of work to make an issue of LibertORian and to present the information in a timely manner. Reflecting on my work, I think I did a fairly good job. You may disagree. Let me know. But my point is that some issues, like Planned Parenthood, are ongoing. The Center for Medical Progress is constantly creating new twists to the story. So if you are on top of things, you may find parts of LibertORian a review.

Did you know that sometimes I type this into my text program as I'm writing:

12345612345612345612345612345623456  
?

I    The page that I type LibertORian on  
n    has an 80-column width. When the  
e    page is divided into two columns, 36  
v    characters span each column with an  
e    8-character divider. Those numbers  
r    are used for me as a guide so that I  
t    don't exceed the 36-character limit.  
y    For column 2, I don't have to worry  
p    about that. I just count 8 spaces  
e    from the rightmost edge of column 1  
a    and begin column 2. All the lines  
n    below the first are easy to line up.

y    I received an email from one of the  
t    Portuguese-speaking readers. S/he  
h    was upset that the formatting, for  
i    plain text, does not lend itself too  
n    well with Google Translate. While  
g    it may be frustrating and I want you  
i    to be able to read this, It pleases  
n    me that Google gagged and hopefully  
t    threw up on this text! That company  
h    is so evil! We hope to see a Portu-  
i    guese translation in the future, but  
s    the guys at Underchan are at work  
m    converting this magazine to Spanish.

i    In the next issue, I hope to publish  
s    something from Howard Thompson, who  
m    founded the game company METAGAMING  
i    CONCEPTS in the mid-1970s and then  
d    disappeared from public life around  
d    1983. He is a libertarian (and, I  
l    think, an atheist), and one of his  
e    writings is uncannily prophetic. In  
c    LibertORian, the article will be re-  
o    printed and analyzed for it's accur-  
l    acy at predicting a future history.

u    If you don't do anything else, can  
m    you please take a bit of time and  
n    share the LibertORian onion URL with  
!    people up there on clearnet (or  
!    at whatever depth you are). As the  
!    number of readers increases, the  
    potential for change does as well.  
    Remember, it's:

http://libertxn3ogpn6mb.onion

- - - E N D        O F        F I L E - - -